

# **FORM 5: SUBMISSION**



ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN OR PLAN CHANGE OR VARIATION OR POLICY STATEMENT

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

17000	TO COMPA	and the same	day.	200
		_		188
			۸.	8
		1/	^	88
		$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	•	捌
		1		38

// Queenstown Lakes District Council

Name of submitter [full name]

Andrew

Clifton Firth

This is a submission on the following proposed policy statement (or on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following policy statement or plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed policy statement or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing policy statement or plan) (the **proposal**):

8

NAME OF // Proposed or existing policy statement or plan and (where applicable) change or variation

+ 13,5,10

could / Could not\*\*

gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

am not\*\*

directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
- \* Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

\*\* Select one.

SPECIFIC PROVISIONS // Of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

[give details]

Intensification and increases in height limits to buildys

#### MY SUBMISSION

[Include; whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your view]

The variation would allow development in an adhor of poorly planned manner that is contrary to the character of amounty of the existing reordontal areas particularly on the central basia. Increase the commercial buildry height would down note the landscape. The area would be noise & contrary sound rescree management plannap, There is ansufacent infrastructu

- \*If your submission relates to a proposed policy statement or plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:
- whether you consider that the proposed plan or policy statement or change falls to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified: or
- in the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan or

There is no public transport envisaged by the sand Should variation. Three farts is better placed to grow and should



## I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION // From the local authority

[give precise details]

That the variables be rejected and the existy rules ma, ntamed

\*I wish / do not wish\*\*

to be heard in support of my submission.

I will / will not\*\*

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

PRULKELLY, CO, NB

@2743

Post code

- \* In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.
- \*\* Select one



#### SIGNATURE

\*\*Signature

[or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter]

Date 3/0/23

<sup>\*\*</sup> A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.



## YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email and phone.

Electronic address for service of submitter

The state of the conviction of destricted by

Telephone [work] [home]

Postal Address

[or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act]

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable]



### NOTE // To person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- » it is frivolous or vexatious:
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- » it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.



