

IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY

ENV-2019-CHC-110

I MUA I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA

IN THE MATTER	of the Resource Management Act 1991
AND	
IN THE MATTER	of an appeal under Clause 14 of Schedule 1 of the Act
BETWEEN	TROJAN HELMET LIMITED Appellant
AND	QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL Respondent

NOTICE OF A PERSON'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

Dated: 3 October 2019

TODD & WALKER law
LAWYERS | NOTARY PUBLIC

Solicitors:

G M Todd/B B Gresson
PO Box 124
Queenstown 9348
P 03 441 2743
F 03 441 2976
graeme@toddandwalker.com;
ben@toddandwalker.com

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

And To: The Appellant

And To: The Respondent

1. Hogans Gully Farming Limited ("**HGFL**") wishes to be a party to the following proceedings:
 - a. An appeal by Trojan Helmet Limited ("**Appellant**") against a decision of the Queenstown Lakes District Council ("**Council**") on its Proposed District Plan ("**Plan**").
2. HGFL made a submission on the subject matter of the proceedings:
 - a. The Appellant's appeal seeks amendments to the definition of Resort.
 - b. HGFL made a submission on Stage 2 of the Plan seeking its land between State Highway 6, McDonnell Road, Hogan's Gully Road and Bendemeer be rezoned to a bespoke Hogans Gully Resort Zone. The submission was declined by the Council who found that the zoning did not constitute a Resort under the Plan. HGFL has appealed the Council's decision.
 - c. HGFL in its submission also sought "additional or consequential relief necessary or appropriate to address the matters raised in this submission and/or the relief requested in this submission".
 - d. The relief sought by the Appellant to amend the definition of Resort constitutes additional and consequential relief necessary or appropriate to address the matters raised and relief requested in HGFL's submission, being the rezoning of its land to a Resort Zone.
3. Alternatively, HGFL has an interest in the appeal that is greater than the interest that the general public has:
 - a. As well as its rezoning appeal, HGFL has appealed the decision of the Council to decline HGFL's resource consent application seeking effectively the same

relief as that sought by way of its rezoning submission. The Council in declining the application again found that the proposal did not constitute a Resort under the Plan.

- b. The Appellant's appeal will therefore have a direct effect on HGFL in terms of both its rezoning appeal and its resource consent appeal.
4. HGFL is not a trade competitor for the purpose of Section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
5. HGFL is interested in all of the proceedings.
6. HGFL is interested in the following particular issues:
 - a. The amendments to the definition of Resort as sought by the Appellant.
7. HGFL supports the relief sought by the Appellant because –
 - a. HGFL supports the amendments sought to the definition of Resort.
8. HGFL agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



Signed for Hogans Gully Farming Limited
by its solicitor and duly authorised agent
Graeme Morris Todd/ Benjamin Brett Gresson

Address for Service of person wishing to be a party:

C/- Todd and Walker Law

PO Box 124

Queenstown 9348

Telephone: 03 441 2743

Facsimile: 03 441 2976

Email: graeme@toddandwalker.com; ben@toddandwalker.com

Contact persons: Graeme Todd; Ben Gresson