

FORM 5: SUBMISSION



ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN OR PLAN CHANGE OR VARIATION OR POLICY STATEMENT

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

0000000	
	\sim

TO // Queenstown Lakes District Council

Name of submitter [full name] Marganet Helen Firth

This is a submission on the following proposed policy statement (or on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following policy statement or plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed policy statement or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing policy statement or plan) (the **proposal**):

[8]

NAME OF // Proposed or existing policy statement or plan and (where applicable) change or variation

8.5.1 + 13,5710

could / could not**

gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

*I am / am not**

directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
- * Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- ** Select one.

目

SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

// Of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

[give details]

Intensification and

and increases

Increases in heigh

 Ω

MY SUBMISSION

There is at ready in sufficient in her should, it is not accounted to have them amended; and reasons for your view!

There is at ready in sufficient in her should, it is not accounted at Three Parks a Peter placed to slevely low cost hours a peter placed to slevely low at the conversal so planned as his conversal not the central bosoin. It is not in keeping and contrary to the law level charaltay of hours and contrary to the aux level charaltay of hours contrary to the apendent lakes spaceal Plan 20 wahonal Policy Statement on Urboun Development 2020 noise provisions, and shistington to the government his per insufficient consistant in Wo grown the of the

*If your submission relates to a proposed policy statement or plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- > whether you consider that the proposed plan or policy statement or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- > in the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan or policy statement should be modified.

This paragraph may be deleted if the proposal is not subject to a collaborative planning process.

Law cook herrory or yes of Level general-hoped by

There is alwoodly Subjected land compacily without this virially

Page 1/2 // October



I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION // From the local authority

[give precise details]

That these variations be rejected and the existy rule, be maintained

*I wish / do not wish**

to be heard in support of my submission.

I | will / | will not**

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.

** Select one.



SIGNATURE

**Signature

[or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter]

Date 3 10 23

** A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.



YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email and phone.

Electronic address for service of submitter

[home]

021508374

XAAITAKBIO, CO, NS

Postal Address

Telephone [work]

[or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act]

Post code

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable]



NOTE // To person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- » it is frivolous or vexatious:
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- » it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.



